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The following errors are noted and corrected:

1. In Abstract, Results, sentence 5: '156.1 (121.2-191.0)’ should have been '171.2 (140.5-201.8)'.
2. In section Microtechnology, Rugby Union Training, final sentence: 'contacts' should have been 'tackles' and vice versa.
3. In section Video-Based Analysis, Rugby Union Match Play, sentence 3: '156.1 (121.2-191.0)' should have been '171.2 (140.5-201.8)'.
4. In Table 4: The following additional data have been added to the Vaz et al. (2010) (89) row:
Column 2: S12 competition: 95 matches;
Column 5: $112.7 \pm 33.1$;
Column 6: $99.4 \pm 3.0$.
The original version of Table 4 has been replaced with the version shown below:
5. Fig. 5c: The two entries for Vaz et al. 2010 (89) have now been removed from this figure. The original version of Fig. 5 has been replaced with the version shown below:
[^0]Table 4 Characteristics of collision frequency detected by video-based analysis in rugby union and rugby sevens.

| Study: author <br> (year) | Number of <br> matches/ <br> training <br> sessions | Type of <br> collisions | Frequency <br> definition | Frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4 (continued)

| Study: author (year) | Number of matches/ training sessions | Type of collisions | Frequency definition | Frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD |  | Relative frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD (no. per min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tackling |  | $23.1 \pm 14$ | $23.4 \pm 10.2$ |  |
| Duthie et al. | 16 matches |  |  | Forwards: | Backs: | NR |
|  |  | Static exertion | No per game | Front row: $78 \pm 16$ | Inside back: $27 \pm 10$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back row: $82 \pm 17$ | Outside back: $13 \pm 5$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total: $80 \pm 17$ | Total: $21 \pm 11$ |  |
|  |  | Tackles | No per game | Front row: $10 \pm 8$ | Inside back: $11 \pm 6$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back row: $13 \pm 5$ | Outside back: $7 \pm 4$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total: $11 \pm 7$ | Total: $9 \pm 6$ |  |
| Eaton et al. (2006) [44] | 6 matches | Rucks and mauls | Number | $\text { Prop: } 38 \pm 12$ |  | NR |
|  |  |  |  | Hooker: $49 \pm 10$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lock: $49 \pm 19$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Loose: $48 \pm 13$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Scrum half: $15 \pm 5$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Inside back: $15 \pm 9$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Outside back: $13 \pm 6$ |  |  |
|  |  | Tackling: <br> Tackler |  | Prop: $8 \pm 4$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Hooker: $8 \pm 4$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lock: $11 \pm 3$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Loose: $13 \pm 6$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Scrum half: $11 \pm 4$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Inside back: $9 \pm 4$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Outside back: $6 \pm 3$ |  |  |
|  |  | Tackled |  | Prop: $5 \pm 3$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Hooker: $7 \pm 4$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lock: $4 \pm 2$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Loose: $8 \pm 5$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Scrum half: $9 \pm 4$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Inside back: $5 \pm 3$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Outside back: $5 \pm 3$ |  |  |
|  |  | Scrums |  | Prop: $29 \pm 6$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Hooker: $29 \pm 6$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\text { Lock: } 29 \pm 6$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Loose: $27 \pm 7$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average total | $29 \pm 6$ |  |  |
| Fuller et al. | 50 matches | Contact events | Total | 22,842 |  | NR |
|  |  | Scrums | Total | 1447 |  |  |
|  |  | Tackles | Total | 11,048 |  |  |
|  |  | Rucks | Total | 7124 |  |  |
|  |  | Mauls | Total | 921 |  |  |
| Fuller et al. (2008) [46] | 26 matches | Tackles | General play total | 6219 |  | NR |

Table 4 (continued)

| Study: author (year) | Number of matches/ training sessions | Type of collisions | Frequency definition | Frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD | Relative frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD (no. per min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | One on one tackles | No of tackles in general play: | Tackler-1 (all): 3558 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Arm: 1690 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Collision: 384 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Jersey: 93 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lift: 16 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Shoulder: 826 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Smoother: 526 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Tap: 23 |  |
|  |  | Double tackles | No of tackles in general play: | Tackler-1 (all): 2512 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Arm: 1443 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Collision: 10 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Jersey: 86 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lift: 11 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Shoulder: 746 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Smoother: 209 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Tap: 7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Tackler-2 (all): 2512 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Arm: 1589 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Collision: 14 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Jersey: 22 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lift: 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Shoulder: 358 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Smoother: 527 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Tap: 2 |  |
|  |  | Arm double tackles: | No of tackles in general play: | Ball Carrier: |  |
|  |  |  |  | Forward: 650 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back: 750 |  |
|  |  | One-on-one collision tackles: | No of tackles in general play: | Ball Carrier: |  |
|  |  |  |  | Forward: 146 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back: 217 |  |
| Hendricks et al. | 21 matches | Tackles | Per match | $114 \pm 20$ | NR |
|  |  | Scrum | Total | 199 |  |
|  |  | Maul | Total | 152 |  |
| Hendricks et al. (2014) [50] | 18 matches | Tackles | Per match | $116 \pm 20$ | NR |
|  |  |  | Each competition week | 149 |  |
|  |  |  | Per team | 131 |  |
| Hendricks et al. (2018) [8] | 12: Six Nations | Tackles | Total | 4479 | NR |
|  | 15: Championship |  | Championship | 1853 |  |
|  |  |  | Six Nations | 2626 |  |

Table 4 (continued)

| Study: author (year) | Number of matches/ training sessions | Type of collisions | Frequency definition | Frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD | Relative frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD (no. per min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Per match in Six Nations | $175 \pm 21$ |  |
|  |  |  | Per match in Championship | $154 \pm 36$ |  |
|  |  | Rucks | Total | 2914 |  |
|  |  |  | Championship | 1234 |  |
|  |  |  | Six Nations | 1680 |  |
|  |  |  | Per match in Six Nations | $112 \pm 27$ |  |
|  |  |  | Per match in Championship | $103 \pm 30$ |  |
| Jones et al. (2014) [52] | 4 matches |  |  | Forwards: Backs: |  |
|  |  | Tackles | Per match | $5 \pm 3$ - $4 \pm 3$ |  |
|  |  | Contacts hit | Per match | $15 \pm 6$ 6 $6 \pm 4$ |  |
|  |  | Impacts | Total | $25 \pm 9$ 15 $\pm 7$ |  |
|  |  | Scrum | Number | $13 \pm 5$ |  |
|  |  | Contacts | Total | $31 \pm 14$ 16 |  |
| Lacome et al. (2016) [54] | 18 matches | Tackles | Players Completing Entire Match | NR | Forwards: Backs: |
|  |  |  |  |  | First half: First half: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $0.1 \pm 0.1 \quad 0.1 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Second half: } & \text { Second half: } \\ 0.1 \pm 0.1 & 0.1 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$ |
| Lindsay et al. (2015) [55] | NR | Impacts: | Total | NR | Group: $0.5 \pm 0.2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Forwards: $0.6 \pm 0.2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Backs: $0.4 \pm 0.2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Front row: $0.5 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Locks: $0.5 \pm 0.01$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Loose forwards: $0.6 \pm 0.4$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Inside backs: $0.4 \pm 0.2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Outside backs: $0.3 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  | Tackles and tackle assists: | Total |  | Groups: $0.1 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Forwards: $0.2 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Backs: $0.1 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Front row: $0.1 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Locks: $0.2 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Loose forwards: $0.2 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Inside backs: $0.1 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Outside backs: $0.07 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  | Rucks: | Total |  | Groups: $0.2 \pm 0.2$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Forwards: $0.3 \pm 0.3$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Backs: $0.1 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Front row: $0.3 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Locks: $0.3 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Loose forwards: $0.4 \pm 0.4$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Inside backs: $0.2 \pm 0.1$ |

Table 4 (continued)
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Table 4 (continued)

| Study: author (year) | Number of matches/ training sessions | Type of collisions | Frequency definition | Frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD |  | Relative frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD (no. per min) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tackles made | Per match | $13.6 \pm 7.5$ | $6.5 \pm 4.7$ | $0.6 \pm 0.2$ | $0.2 \pm 0.1$ |
|  |  | Scrum | Number | $12 \pm 4.4$ | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | Scrum | Total | $147.4 \pm 89.8$ | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | Impact | Per match | $43.6 \pm 18.3$ | $13.5 \pm 7.4$ |  |  |
|  |  | Collisions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smart et al. (2014) [75] | 296 matches | Tackles | Successful tackles (\%) | Forwards: | Backs: | NR |  |
|  |  |  |  | $88 \pm 14$ | $80 \pm 20$ |  |  |
| Takarada (2003) [79] | 2 matches | Tackle | Mean tackles per match | $14 \pm 7.4$ |  | NR |  |
| Tucker et al. | 1516 matches | Rucks | Per match | 162.9 |  | NR |  |
|  |  | Mauls | Per match | 10.4 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tackles | Per match | 158 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Tackles/player/ match | Fly half: 5 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Scrum half: 3.8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Centre: 5.8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Full back: 2.1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Wing: 2.7 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Hooker: 6.9 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Number 8: 6.4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Prop: 5.5 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lock: 6.1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Flanker: 7.4 |  |  |  |
| Van Rooyen et al. (2008) | 7 matches | Impact contacts | Average per game | Total: 386 |  | NR |  |
|  |  |  |  | Forwards: 257 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Backs: 125 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Scrum: | Forwards: 81 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Ruck: | Forwards: 48 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Backs: 8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Maul: | Forwards: 14 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Backs: 4.5 |  |  |  |
| Van Rooyen | 69 matches | Tackles | Total per match | 21,886 (average 159 $\pm 42$ ) |  | NR |  |
|  |  |  | 6 Nations | $165 \pm 28$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Tri Nations | $141 \pm 24$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RWC | $156 \pm 47$ |  |  |  |
| Van Rooyen <br> et al. (2014) <br> [88] | 15 matches | Tackle | Tackle situations per match | Average: $191 \pm 32$ |  | NR |  |
|  |  |  |  | Average winning team: $89 \pm 30$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Average losing team: $101 \pm 24$ |  |  |  |
| Vaz et al. (2010) [89] | ons: 64 matches | Tackles made: | Total | Winners: | Losers: | NR |  |
|  |  |  |  | $88 \pm 27.6$ | $89 \pm 37.8$ |  |  |

Table 4 (continued)

| Study: author (year) | Number of matches/ training sessions | Type of collisions | Frequency definition | Frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD |  | Relative frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD (no. per min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S12 com- } \\ & \text { petition: } 95 \\ & \text { matches } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $112.7 \pm 33.1$ | $99.4 \pm 30$ |  |
| Vaz et al. (2012) [90] | Training session (Small sided games) | Tackles | Tackles made: | Novice: | Experienced: | NR |
|  |  |  |  | $28.2 \pm 3.3$ | $48.7 \pm 3.3$ |  |
| Villarejo et al. (2013) [92] | 48 matches | Tackles | Attempted tackles | Front row: 10 |  | NR |
|  |  |  |  | Second row: 10.9 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back row: 14.3 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Scrum halves: 12.5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Middle backs: 10.5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back three: 5.9 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Tackles made | Front row: 8 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Second row: 8.6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back row: 11.2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Scrum halves: 8.3 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Middle backs: 7.2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back three: 3.7 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Ineffective tackles | Front row: 0.7 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Second row: 0.6 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back row: 1.1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Scrum halves: 1.7 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Middle backs: 1.2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back three: 0.9 |  |  |
| Villarejo et al. (2015) [93] | 48 matches | Tackles | Attempted tackles | Winning team: | Losing team: | NR |
|  |  |  |  | Front row: $10.5 \pm 14.04$ | Front row: $9.4 \pm 12.4$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Second row: $10.2 \pm 8.6$ | Second row: $11.6 \pm 14.9$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back row: $14.5 \pm 14.6$ | Back row: $14.2 \pm 17.6$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Scrum halves: $9.5 \pm 11.1$ | Scrum halves: $15.3 \pm 24.7$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Inside backs: $9.3 \pm 12.9$ | Inside backs: $11.4 \pm 10.6$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Outside backs: $5.5 \pm 9.6$ | Outside backs:6.2 $\pm 7.4$ |  |
|  |  |  | Effective tackles: | Front row: $8.9 \pm 12.9$ | Front row: $6.8 \pm 9.8$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Second row: $8.4 \pm 7.3$ | Second row: $8.7 \pm 9.5$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Back row: $12 \pm 11.6$ | Back row: $10.6 \pm 14.9$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Scrum halves: $7.5 \pm 9.3$ | Scrum halves: $8.8 \pm 15.4$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Inside backs: $7.02 \pm 10.9$ | Inside backs: $7.1 \pm 7.2$ |  |

Table 4 (continued)

| Study: author <br> (year) | Number of <br> matches/ <br> training <br> sessions | Type of <br> collisions | Frequency <br> definition | Frequency of collisions: mean $\pm$ SD | Relative frequency of <br> collisions: mean $\pm$ SD (no. <br> per min) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4 (continued)



Fig. 5 Meta-analysis of studies reporting absolute total scrums, rucks, and tackles per match ( n ) from video-based analysis in rugby union. The forest plot (mean and 95\% confidence interval (CI)) presents the results of the meta-analysis of the pooled data estimates for the total $\mathbf{a}$ scrums, $\mathbf{b}$ rucks and $\mathbf{c}$ tackles per match. The squares and horizontal lines represent individual study mean and $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ and the diamond presents the pooled mean and $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$. The bigger the square the larger the sample size
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